Commonwealth of Massachusetts



Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 13419 MEPA Analyst:Anne Canaday Phone: 617-626-1035

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: St. Lawrence Ceme Improvement Dredging Project at	nt/Bosto Island E	n Sand & Grave nd River, Evere	el, Maintenance & tt, MA			
Street: 201 Rover Street	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Municipality: Everett		Watershed: Mystic				
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: 42-23'21"				
		Longitude: 71-03'21"				
Estimated commencement date:Spi	Estimated completion date: Spring 2005					
Approximate cost: \$250,000		Status of project design: 75% %complete				
Proponent: St. Lawrence Cement	/ Boston	Sand & Gravel	(Co-Permittees)			
Street: 139 Terminal Road / PO Bo	ox 9187					
Municipality: Providence / Boston		State: RI /MA	Zip Code: 02905 / 02114			
Name of Contact Person From Who	m Copies					
Susan Nilson, P.E.		·	•			
Firm/Agency: CLE Engineering, Inc	>	Street: 15 Creek Road				
Municipality: Marion		State: MA	Zip Code: 02738			
Phone: 508-748-0937	Fax: 508	3-748-1363	E-mail:			
			snilson@cleengineering.com			
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? Yes No Has this project been filed with MEPA before?						
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting: a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Yes No a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)						
Identify any financial assistance or land the agency name and the amount of fur	transfer fr	om an agency of t	he Commonwealth, including			

Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency?

\times Yes(Specify_Everett Con.Comm., DEP 401 Water Quality Cert., DEP Chpt. 91

Dredging Permit, Army Corp. P	GP Cat. 2, Ma	ss. CZM Fed	leral Consist	ency Review)
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: See Previous for permit submittals, all permits presently in progress.				
Which ENF or EIR review thres	hold(s) does th	ne project me	et or evcee	d (one 204 CMD 44 02);
		io project me	CLOI EXCEE	1 (See 301 CMR 11.03).
∐ Land ☐ Water	☐ Rare Speci ☐ Wastewate			Vaterways, & Tidelands
☐ Energy	⊟ Wastewate ∏ Air	" 	Transportat	มอก cardous Waste
ACEC	Regulations	s 📋		Archaeological
	T		Resources	
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	LAND			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	N/A			Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		N/A		
Acres of impervious area	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		N/A		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration	i i de la compa	81,950 SF (1.88 acres)	17_745	☐ Water Management Act Permit
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		N/A		New Source Approval DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
STRU	JCTURES			Other Permits
Gross square footage	N/A			(including Legislative
Number of housing units	N/A			Approvals) – Specify:
Maximum height (in feet)	N/A			ACOE PGP Cat. 2
,	PORTATION			Mass. CZM Federal
Vehicle trips per day	N/A		<u></u>	Consistency Review
Parking spaces	N/A			
	VASTEWATER			
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	N/A			
GPD water withdrawal	N/A			
GPD wastewater generation/	N/A			
treatment	, ,			

•=(1~4.-1)

Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	N/A			·
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project natural resources to any purpose not in Yes (Specify Will it involve the release of any conse	n accordance wit	th Article 97?	⊠No	·
restriction, or watershed preservation r Yes (Specify	estriction?]No	29.10a.1a.1 p. 0001 73.1071
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary N	include Estimat atural Communi	ed Habitat of ties?	_	s, Vernal Pools, Priority
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL R listed in the State Register of Historic F Commonwealth? Yes (Specify	Place or the inver	ntory of Histor	ct site include ric and Archad	any structure, site or district eological Assets of the
If yes, does the project involve any den archaeological resources?			sted or invent	toried historic or
☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No	
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMEN Environmental Concern? Yes (Specify				ent to an Area of Critical
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project site, (b) a description of both with each alternative, and (c) potent (You may attach one additional page a) St. Lawrence Cement and Boston Satimprovement dredging at an existing confidence of the facility is located on land owned be on the property as its base for offloading will maintain and improve the berthing Cement is now utilizing a deeper draft dock and offload at the facility. The pro-26' MLW by the Everett Conservation the Department of Environmental Protest Permit No. 350.	th on-site and of tial on-site and on-site and on-site and one, if necessary and & Gravel are ommercial wharing Boston Sand & area and improvessel for received to ject has been proceed to the commission Direction (DEP) under the commission of the commissi	off-site altern off-site mition.) e proposing to f located on the Gravel. St. istributing center we access to noting product, to previously aut EP File No. 2	patives and a gation meas operform mathe Island End Lawrence Coment product avigable water dredging a chorized for de 2-43, Army CENAE-CO-R	the impacts associated sures for each alternative intenance and River in Everett, MA. Ement has a right of way is. The proposed project ers. As St. Lawrence is necessary to safely redging to a depth of Corps of Engineers and 199800248 and DEP
The project will consist of a dredging a consist of excavating to a depth of minimallowable overdepth for a total of appromaterial will be mechanically excavate	us thirty-one fee eximately 10,867	t (-31') mean 7 cubic yards	low water da of material to	atum with one (1) foot be removed. The

on the barge or offloaded and stored temporarily on the applicant's site and when suitable for trucking,

the material will be transported to a permitted upland disposal site.

- b) The proposed maintenance and improvement dredging has no off-site alternatives. On-site alternatives include; (a) No-build alternative: The no-build alternative will result in the restricted use of the facility due to limited vessel clearance. The no-build alternative does not meet the project goals and is not considered further. (b) The proposed maintenance & improvement dredging is the preferred alternative presented in this permit filing. The project will provide vessel clearance and allow the client to maintain a berth area and access to navigable waters.
- c) The project has been designed to minimize the impacts to the coastal resource areas. No dredging is proposed within any intertidal area. A proposed depth of -21' MLW will begin at the existing bulkhead, and proceed with a 3:1 slope to the dredge footprint. All side slopes for the project are proposed to be 3 horizontal to 1 vertical (3:1). The transportation of the dredged material will not take place until the sediments have properly dewatered, at which time they will be trucked. The maintenance & improvement dredging is designed to provide adequate clearance for the proposed vessels using them, thereby reducing the risk of grounding at the site.

LAND SECTION - all proponents must fill out this section

 I. Thresholds / Permits A. Does the project meet or exceed any review thresholds related to land (see Yes X No; if yes, specify each threshold: 	301 CMR 11.03(1)
II. Impacts and Permits A. Describe, in acres, the current and proposed character of the project site, as Existing Change Footprint of buildings N/A Roadways, parking, and other paved areas N/A Other altered areas (describe) N/A Undeveloped areas N/A	s follows: Total
B. Has any part of the project site been in active agricultural use in the last threYesX_No; if yes, how many acres of land in agricultural use (with agricultural converted to nonagricultural use?	e years? ultural soils) will be
C. Is any part of the project site currently or proposed to be in active forestry us Yes _X No; if yes, please describe current and proposed forestry activities whether any part of the site is the subject of a DEM-approved forest management.	es and indicato
D. Does any part of the project involve conversion of land held for natural resour accordance with Article 97 of the Amendments to the Constitution of the Commo purpose not in accordance with Article 97? Yes _X No; if yes, describe:	rces purposes in nwealth to any
E. Is any part of the project site currently subject to a conservation restriction, properties, agricultural preservation restriction or watershed preservation restriction No; if yes, does the project involve the release or modification of such restriction if yes, describe:	nn? Yes Y
F. Does the project require approval of a new urban redevelopment project or a in an existing urban redevelopment project under M.G.L.c.121A? YesX_	fundamental change No; if yes, describe
G. Does the project require approval of a new urban renewal plan or a major mo existing urban renewal plan under M.G.L.c.121B? Yes NoX_; if yes, des	odification of an scribe:
H. Describe the project's stormwater impacts and, if applicable, measures that the comply with the standards found in DEP's Stormwater Management Policy: No notes that the comply with the standards found in DEP's Stormwater Management Policy: No notes that the comply with the standards found in DEP's Stormwater Management Policy:	ne project will take to new point source